

BURLEIGH DODDS SERIES IN AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

# Achieving sustainable production of milk

Volume 2: Safety, quality and sustainability

Edited by Dr Nico van Belzen

International Dairy Federation (IDF), Belgium



# Contents

Series list	xi
Acknowledgements	xv
Introduction	xvi

## Part 1 Ensuring the safety and quality of milk on the farm

1	Pathogens affecting raw milk from cows <i>Claire Verraes, Sabine Cardoen and Wendie Claeys, Federal Agency for the Safety of the Food Chain, Belgium; and Lieve Herman, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Belgium</i>	3
1	Introduction	3
2	Pathogenic microorganisms in raw milk	4
3	Sources of microbiological contamination of raw milk	6
4	The growth of bacteria in raw milk	8
5	Heat treatment and other techniques to prevent bacterial contamination of milk	11
6	Occurrence of pathogenic microorganisms in raw milk and cheese made from raw milk	13
7	Outbreaks related to the consumption of raw milk and of cheese made from raw milk	15
8	Summary	18
9	Future trends	20
10	Where to look for further information	20
11	References	21
2	Detecting pathogens in milk on dairy farms: key issues for developing countries <i>Delia Grace, Silvia Alonso, Johanna Lindahl, Sara Ahlberg and Ram Pratim Deka, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Kenya</i>	27
1	Introduction	27
2	Why test for pathogens on dairy farms?	27
3	Indirect and direct tests for detecting pathogens	30
4	Case study 1: controlling disease in dairy cattle and zoonotic risks in Tanzania	34
5	Case study 2: improving milk quality in India	35
6	Conclusions and future trends	37
7	Where to look for further information	39
8	References	39
3	Mastitis, milk quality and yield <i>P. Moroni, Cornell University, USA and University of Milano, Italy; F. Welcome, Cornell University, USA; and M. F. Addis, Porto Conte Ricerche, Italy</i>	43
1	Introduction	43
2	Indicators of mastitis	44
3	Impact of mastitis on milk composition	46

4	Impact of mastitis on dairy product quality	49
5	Impact of mastitis on milk production yield	50
6	Conclusion and future trends	54
7	References	54
4	Chemical contaminants in milk	63
	<i>Bernadette O'Brien and Kieran Jordan, Teagasc, Ireland</i>	
1	Introduction	63
2	Cows' diet as a source of iodine contamination	64
3	Case study: iodine concentrations in milk	65
4	Case study: veterinary medicines in milk	69
5	Case study: cleaning and disinfecting products containing chlorine	74
6	Future trends and conclusion	86
7	References	86
5	Detecting and preventing contamination of dairy cattle feed	95
	<i>Delia Grace, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Kenya; Johanna Lindahl, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Kenya and Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden; Erastus Kang'ethe, University of Nairobi, Kenya; and Jagger Harvey, Biosciences Eastern and Central Africa Hub, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Kenya; Feed the Future Innovation Lab for the Reduction of Post-Harvest Loss, Kansas State University, USA</i>	
1	Introduction	95
2	Health and economic impacts of contaminants in dairy feed	98
3	Diagnosing contaminants and ensuring feed safety	100
4	Key hazards in dairy feeds: aflatoxins and other mycotoxins	101
5	Key hazards in dairy feeds: <i>Salmonella</i> and other biological hazards	106
6	Key hazards in dairy feeds: chemical hazards, veterinary drug residues and heavy metals	108
7	Conclusions	111
8	Where to look for further information	111
9	References	112
6	Minimizing the development of antimicrobial resistance on dairy farms: appropriate use of antibiotics for the treatment of mastitis	117
	<i>Pamela L. Ruegg, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA</i>	
1	Introduction	117
2	Use of antimicrobials on dairy farms	118
3	Clinical relevance of antimicrobial resistance data	121
4	Trends in the antimicrobial resistance of mastitis pathogens	122
5	Ensuring effective use of antibiotics in the treatment of mastitis: diagnosis, antibiotic choice and duration of treatment	124
6	Ensuring effective use of antibiotics in the treatment of mastitis: targeting treatment	126
7	Conclusions	129
8	Where to look for further information	129
9	References	130

7	Managing sustainable food safety on dairy farms <i>Réjean Bouchard, VIDO-InterVac/University of Saskatchewan, Canada; Helen Dornom, Dairy Australia, Australia; Anne-Charlotte Dockès, Institut de l'Élevage, France; Nicole Sillett, Dairy Farmers of Canada, Canada; and Jamie Jonker, National Milk Producers Federation, USA</i>	135
1	Introduction	136
2	The basis for food safety on Canadian dairy farms	136
3	On-farm sustainability programmes in Canada	138
4	On-farm sustainability programmes in the United States of America	142
5	The on-farm sustainability programme in France	145
6	Australia's food safety system	149
7	Conclusion	153
8	Where to look for further information	153

## Part 2 Sustainability

8	'Towards' sustainability of dairy farming: an overview <i>Norman R. Scott and Curt Gooch, Cornell University, USA</i>	157
1	Introduction	158
2	Defining sustainable dairy production	158
3	Current status of global dairy sustainability	161
4	The challenge of dairy sustainability	164
5	Future sustainable dairy farming	165
6	Future dairy farming beyond 2050	169
7	Conclusion	170
8	Where to look for further information	170
9	References	171
9	Setting environmental targets for dairy farming <i>Sophie Bertrand, French Dairy Inter-branch Organization, France</i>	173
1	Introduction	173
2	A global typology of dairy production systems for use in environmental assessments	174
3	Life cycle assessment (LCA): an overview	174
4	LCA: product carbon footprint	176
5	LCA: product water footprint	178
6	Assessing impacts on biodiversity	179
7	Setting environmental targets: challenges and limits	180
8	Conclusion	181
9	Where to look for further information	181
10	References	181
10	Grassland management to minimize the environmental impact of dairy farming <i>Margaret E. Graves and Ralph C. Martin, Dalhousie University, Canada</i>	183
1	Introduction: overview of the management of forage systems for dairy farming	183
2	Minimizing environmental impacts in perennial forage systems management: greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and climate change	185

3	Minimizing environmental impacts in perennial forage systems management: soil quality, biodiversity and land use optimization	188
4	Case studies in management-intensive grazing (MIG) for dairy farming	194
5	Summary	196
6	Future trends in research	196
7	Where to look for further information	197
8	References	199
11	Improved energy and water management to minimize the environmental impact of dairy farming <i>J. Upton, E. Murphy and L. Shalloo, Teagasc, Ireland; M. Murphy, Cork Institute of Technology, Ireland; and I.J.M. De Boer and P.W.G. Groot Koerkamp, Wageningen University, The Netherlands</i>	211
1	Introduction	211
2	Understanding current energy use in dairy farming	212
3	Strategies to reduce energy use in dairy farming	214
4	Results, analysis and recommendations	218
5	Sustainable water use in dairy production	220
6	Conclusions: the relevance of energy reduction and water management strategies to dairy farm sustainability	221
7	Where to look for further information	223
8	References	223
12	Ensuring biodiversity in dairy farming <i>Ben Tyson, Central Connecticut State University, USA; Liza Storey and Nick Edgar, New Zealand Landcare Trust, New Zealand; Jonathan Draper, Central Connecticut State University, USA; and Christine Unson, Southern Connecticut State University, USA</i>	227
1	Introduction	227
2	Impacts of dairy farming on biodiversity	228
3	Biodiversity enhancement	229
4	Strategies for engaging farmers in biodiversity enhancement	231
5	Case study 1: the effects of grazing on the bog turtle (USA)	232
6	Case study 2: impacts of organic dairy farming on biodiversity (Ireland and New Zealand)	234
7	Case study 3: riparian enhancement (New Zealand)	236
8	Case study 4: mixed methods for biodiversity enhancement (New Zealand)	236
9	Case study 5: three catchment case studies (South Island, New Zealand)	237
10	Conclusion	241
11	Where to look for further information	242
12	References	244
13	Organic dairy farming and sustainability <i>Florian Leiber, Adrian Muller, Veronika Maurer, Christian Schader and Anna Bieber, Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL), Switzerland</i>	247
1	Introduction	247
2	Local and global feed efficiency and ecological sustainability	248

3	Towards solutions 1: longevity and integrated dairy and beef production	250
4	Towards solutions 2: developing roughage-based feeding strategies	251
5	Towards solutions 3: organic dairy breeding	252
6	Towards solutions 4: approaching animal health and welfare	255
7	Research into sustainable organic dairy production	256
8	Future trends and conclusion	258
9	Where to look for further information	259
10	References	259
14	Trends in dairy farming and milk production: the cases of the United Kingdom and New Zealand	267
	<i>Alison Bailey, Lincoln University, New Zealand</i>	
1	Introduction	267
2	Global dairy production	267
3	EU dairy production	274
4	The dairy sector in the United Kingdom	278
5	The dairy sector in New Zealand	284
6	Summary and future trends	287
7	Where to look for further information	288
8	References	289
15	Assessing the overall impact of the dairy sector	291
	<i>J. P. Hill, Fonterra Cooperative Group, New Zealand</i>	
1	Introduction	291
2	Socio-economic impact of the dairy sector	294
3	Ecological impact of the dairy sector	300
4	Dairy within sustainable diets	304
5	Global frameworks for sustainable food and dairy production	305
6	Where to look for further information	309
7	Future trends and conclusion	309
8	Acknowledgements	310
9	References	310
 <b>Part 3 Improving quality, safety and sustainability in developing countries</b>		
16	Improving smallholder dairy farming in tropical Asia	317
	<i>John Moran, Profitable Dairy Systems, Australia</i>	
1	Introduction	317
2	Dairy farming in Asia	318
3	Supporting smallholder dairy farmers	320
4	Key constraints facing smallholder dairy farmers in tropical Asia	323
5	Benchmarking performance	325
6	Case study: cow colonies	331
7	Summary and future trends	333
8	Where to look for further information	334
9	References and further reading	335

17	Improving smallholder dairy farming in Africa	337
	<i>J. M. K. Ojango, R. Mrode, A. M. Okeyo, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Kenya; J. E. O. Rege, Emerge-Africa, Kenya; M. G. G. Chagunda, Scotland's Rural College (SRUC), UK; and D. R. Kugonza, Makerere University, Uganda</i>	
1	Introduction	337
2	Sub-Saharan Africa	339
3	Management practices in smallholder dairy systems	341
4	Improving dairy production via breeding under smallholder systems	345
5	Improving productivity in smallholder dairy systems	348
6	Key organizations supporting smallholders	354
7	Future trends	355
8	Where to look for further information	356
9	Acknowledgements	357
10	References	357
18	Organic dairy farming in developing countries	363
	<i>Gidi Smolders, Wageningen University, The Netherlands; Mette Vaarst, Aarhus University, Denmark</i>	
1	Introduction	363
2	Characteristics of milk from different species	365
3	Organic dairy production	368
4	Dairy production systems in Africa	370
5	Conclusion and future trends	378
6	Where to look for further information	380
7	References	380
	Index	387

# Introduction

Milk and associated dairy products constitute the world's most important agricultural commodity by value, particularly if dairy ingredients in other food products are taken into account. The dairy sector provides livelihoods for 1 billion people and is key to enriching diets for over six billion people, although global consumption of dairy still falls short of national dietary guidelines. At the same time, dairy production is also a significant user of land and other resources, and is responsible for 2.7% of total anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. There is therefore an urgent need to improve the efficiency of dairy production so that it can meet the nutritional needs of a growing population in a more environmentally sustainable way. These challenges are explored in more detail in Chapter 15 in this volume which provides an authoritative review of the global importance of the dairy sector and some of the key issues it faces.

The two volumes of *Achieving sustainable production of milk* summarize a huge array of research addressing the challenges dairy farming faces. This volume (Volume 2) reviews ways of ensuring the safety and quality of milk on the dairy farm. It also assesses ways of improving the sustainability of dairy farming, as well as ways of improving milk production in the developing world. The companion Volume 1 complements Volume 2 by summarizing current research on the composition of milk as well as the role of genetics and breeding in improving milk production.

## Part 1 Ensuring the safety and quality of milk on the farm

The first group of chapters review safety issues. Chapter 1 provides a detailed review of what we know about pathogens affecting raw milk and dairy products made from raw milk, including *Escherichia coli*, *Yersinia*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Clostridium botulinum*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Listeria* and *Campylobacter* as well as other hazards such as tick-borne encephalitis virus. The chapter summarizes sources of contamination, whether direct contamination of the milk from blood or the udder, or indirect contamination from sources such as faecal shedding or the broader farm environment. As an example, the chapter describes the way some pathogens such as *Listeria monocytogenes* can circulate in the blood of the animals, localize in the mammary gland or associated lymph nodes, and then pass into milk.

The chapter also summarizes current research on the growth of bacteria in raw milk, highlighting the ways psychrotrophic organisms such as *Pseudomonas* spp., *Listeria* spp. or *Yersinia* spp. are able to proliferate at low temperatures. It discusses antimicrobial systems in raw milk, including the use of lactoperoxidase to enhance antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal activity, as well as heat treatment and other techniques such as centrifugation and microfiltration to prevent bacterial contamination. As it points out, pasteurization may not always inactivate thermo-resistant spores of *Clostridium botulinum* and *Bacillus cereus*. Finally, given the increasing popularity of such products, the authors review current evidence on the occurrence of pathogenic microorganisms in raw milk and cheese made from raw milk, as well as outbreaks related to these products from pathogens such as *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter* spp. and pathogenic *E. coli*

As the chapter points out, complete control of microbiological hazards is challenging, if not impossible in the dairy farm environment, because many of these organisms have multiple reservoirs and may not produce clinical disease in cattle. Dairy product safety, however, can be enhanced by implementing appropriate hygienic standards and practices for housing and milking centres as well as cow cleanliness. This theme is picked up in Chapter 5 and particularly in Chapter 7.

An essential first step in pathogen control is effective detection. Chapter 2 discusses testing for pathogens in milk on dairy farms. The limited use of on-farm pathogen detection can be attributed in part to the challenges of operating in farm environments as well as the lack of sufficiently specific, sensitive, practicable and affordable microbiological tests (an issue that is also picked up in Chapter 3). The chapter reviews the available tests, starting with direct detection techniques such as on-farm culture techniques and milk ring tests. It then considers indirect test methods that can proxy for pathogens by measuring other parameters which change due to the presence of pathogens. These tests include organoleptic characteristics (such as taste, smell and appearance), measuring acidity, somatic cell count (SCC) tests and conductance tests.

The chapter includes two case studies addressing the challenges of testing on dairy farms in developing countries. The first from Tanzania highlights the challenges presented by widespread consumption of raw milk, lack of quality testing and high levels of pathogens in on-farm milk which cause serious disease in both people and animals. The second case study from India shows how these challenges can be addressed through effective training and the use of simple indirect on-farm tests of milk quality, including observation of smell, colour, visible foreign bodies and assessment of added water (a potential source of contamination) using a lactometer. This study shows that simple interventions along the value chain, including indirect on-farm pathogen tests, have long-term benefits in terms of increased food safety and productivity. Challenges in the effective detection of biological and other types of contaminant are also discussed in Chapter 5.

Chapter 3 builds on Chapter 2 by looking in more detail at SCC and other tests as indicators of mastitis and in measuring milk quality more generally. As it points out, the most widely recognized method for mastitis monitoring is by measuring the cells present in milk, that is, determining its SCC. The SCC can be measured in bulk tank milk (BMSCC), at cow level with composite samples of all four quarters (CSSCC) and at quarter level (QMSCC). Whilst BMSCC can provide reliable indications at the herd level, measuring CSSCC or QMSCC is essential in monitoring the incidence of mastitis precisely and keeping subclinical mastitis under control. Somatic cells can be assessed with cow-side methods such as the California Mastitis Test (CMT). The CMT is cost-effective and practical in allowing dairy farmers to take appropriate action, including sampling for subsequent culture analysis, veterinary treatment, segregation of milk, dry-off periods or culling infected animals. However, due to its qualitative nature, the CMT is significantly dependent on user ability and experience and has a low sensitivity. Other tests include milk colour determination or electrical conductivity but these are not sufficiently reliable or sensitive for a conclusive diagnosis.

To improve the quality of detection, biosensors and immuno-biosensors have been developed for detecting protein markers of mastitis as well as other, non-protein, mastitis-associated molecules. The ability to monitor more reliable mastitis markers on line with a biosensor during milking has great potential for the earlier detection of mastitis. Researchers are developing point-of-care techniques or rapid diagnostic tests, mostly

based on antibody-based techniques such as agglutination, enzyme immunoassays and lateral flow immunochromatography, to make this improvement in detection possible.

Chapter 3 also looks at the broader impact of mastitis on milk production and quality. Mastitis is one of the most economically important diseases in dairy production. The economic impact of mastitis includes costs of treatment and culling as well as decreased milk production and quality. The chapter reviews current research on the impact of the disease on the properties of milk. These include an increase in total proteins and a decrease in caseins, modifications in the amount and composition of fats, a decrease in lactose and changes in many milk ions. These changes impact on milk yield and result in quality issues such as off-flavours and reduced shelf life in milk as well as quality problems in dairy products such as yoghurt and cheese.

Chapter 4 addresses another potential safety issue in milk production, the risk of chemical rather than microbiological contamination. Chemical contamination of milk can occur from a number of sources, including application of agrochemicals to fields, inappropriate use of veterinary products, contaminants or natural toxins present in feed or forage, or from cleaning and disinfection products used during milk production, processing and packaging. There have been increasing reports of residues being detected in milk, attributed in part to improvements in analytical instrumentation which allow more sensitive detection of a wider range of residues, some of which were not previously detectable. These techniques include high-resolution mass spectrometry (MS), high-performance liquid chromatography (LC) and electrochemical detection techniques.

Improvements in detection create new challenges to identify current levels of residues in milk, sources and potential health effects, safe limits and recommendations to reduce contamination. The problem can be exacerbated by processing, which can lead to higher concentrations of residues in products such as milk powder, and by the development of products such as infant formula targeted at groups with greater potential vulnerability to the presence of even small traces of residues.

Chapter 4 explores these challenges through case studies which focus on three key sources of contamination: animal diet, veterinary medicines and disinfection products. The first case study looks at iodine residues in milk. These are caused by iodine supplementation of feed to lactating cows to improve fertility and udder health, as well as by disinfection of cow teats with iodine-containing products, particularly for mastitis control. The case study summarizes research to assess current iodine levels and sources as well as appropriate limits in milk.

The second case study focuses on the use of flukicides to combat liver fluke parasites that can lead to loss of productivity, fertility problems and reduced weight gain in dairy cows. The development of analytical methods such as high-performance LC coupled to electrochemical detection (HPLC-ECD) have allowed detection of flukicide residues in milk at very low concentrations. The case study reviews research on the ways residues can survive processing as well as improving best practice in treatment, including the use of withdrawal periods. The use of antibiotics in dairy farming is also discussed in Chapter 6 in the context of antimicrobial resistance.

The final case study looks at chlorine residues in milk. While chlorine is an effective disinfectant, inappropriate use in disinfection processes in dairy production and processing can cause contamination. Reactions between chlorine and organic matter produce a wide range of potentially harmful halogenated and non-halogenated compounds, collectively known as disinfection by-products. These include trichloromethane (TCM) and chlorate residues. The chapter reviews research on mechanisms of formation of these compounds,

levels detected, toxicity and safe limits as well as recommendations for good disinfection practice, including the importance of rinsing. As the chapter suggests, future research in the area of chemical contaminants in milk and dairy products should focus, firstly, on the development, accuracy, precision and efficiency of analytical capabilities; secondly, on efficient and comprehensive strategies to detect the source of such residues; and thirdly, on addressing ways to eliminate or reduce the problem to acceptable levels. The challenges of dealing with contaminants are also discussed in Chapter 5.

As Chapter 5 indicates, contamination of dairy feed compromises the safety of milk and can affect animal health. Animal feeds include roughage, fresh and dried forages such as grass, silage or hay. Feeds also include concentrates, feeds with a high density of nutrients and typically low fibre. They may be fed as individual feeds or blended and formulated into balanced rations (compound feed). Concentrates include products such as maize, sorghum or soybean, and by-products such as brans or fishmeal. Concentrates may be grown or produced on the dairy farm or purchased in the form of products, by-products, or compounded feed from feed manufacturers. Evidence suggests that the main types of contaminant in dairy feed are microbiological hazards (such as *Salmonella* and *Brucella*), persistent organic pollutants (such as dioxins and organochlorines), veterinary drug residues and heavy metals (such as lead, cadmium and arsenic). Dairy feeds have been associated with major food and feed safety incidents involving hazards such as aflatoxins and dioxins.

Global governance of the livestock sector is provided by the World Animal Health Organisation (OIE), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The *OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code* covers hazards of human and animal health importance that can be present in animal feed. It provides guidance on regulatory standards, risk analysis, good agricultural and manufacturing practices, traceability and quality assurance. The OIE-FAO Guide to Good Farming Practices contains a section on animal feeding. The FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) has also approved a *Code of Practice on Good Animal Feeding* and, based on this, the FAO has developed a manual on good practices for the feed industry. These and similar guidelines (e.g. the FAO-International Dairy Federation (IDF) Guide to good dairy farming practice) are useful for the feed industry in high-income countries. However, they are less appropriate for low-income countries where most dairy feed is produced on-farm or obtained from small, informal sector mills, and where relatively high levels of miscellaneous feed such as food waste are used.

The rest of the chapter reviews issues in identification, diagnosis and prevention of a range of hazards such as aflatoxins, *Salmonella*, dioxins, veterinary drug residues and heavy metals. The chapter includes an assessment of the health and economic impact of each hazard as well as sources of contamination. The chapter highlights the continuing challenges in managing these hazards. There are, for example, a number of established diagnostic technologies for detecting aflatoxins such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and LC-MS techniques. However, current methods have disadvantages ranging from cost, low throughput, low sensitivity and specificity in some cases, to lack of portability for use in the field. There is ongoing research to develop techniques such as electrochemical biosensors, electronic noses to detect fungal volatiles and immunoassay-based dipstick techniques to address these problems. There are also challenges in areas such as effective sampling of hazards such as aflatoxins or *Salmonella* which may be present in low concentrations and unevenly distributed in feed.

Many good agricultural practices have also been developed to minimize aflatoxins in feed ingredients. These include the use of resilient/resistant crop varieties, appropriate cultivation practices to prevent fungal growth, use of fungicides, biological control using atoxigenic fungus, proper harvesting, drying and storage. There are also established procedures for the treatment, destruction or safe alternative use of contaminated feed. Treatments include physical sorting and blending, extrusion and heating, binding and other chemical treatments. However, as noted earlier, these procedures can be difficult to implement in developing countries where sources and production of feed are much more fragmented and where appropriate training and resources are limited.

Dioxins are a group of 210 polychlorinated aromatic chemical compounds. They arise mainly from industrial processes or other sources such as the incineration of municipal waste. For cattle, roughages are the most important single route of dioxin exposure, with fishmeal as the most heavily contaminated feed material. Contaminated soil may also drastically increase the exposure of grazing cattle to dioxins. Dioxins are usually detected using gas chromatography/high-resolution mass spectroscopy or ion trap mass spectroscopy. However, analysis costs are high and biological (cell- or antibody-based) screening methods are being developed and validated.

As suggested in Chapter 4, therapeutic use of antimicrobials in dairy cows has the potential to affect human health by increasing the risk of exposure to antimicrobial residues in foodstuffs or by influencing selection of resistant pathogens. Chapter 6 focuses on antibiotic use on dairy farms which is mainly for the treatment of mastitis. As the chapter points out, the evolution and maintenance of resistant mastitis pathogens in dairy cows or dairy farm environments has not been well described. The chapter provides an authoritative review of the current evidence. Studies show that greater exposure to some commonly used antimicrobials has been linked to a greater proportion of resistant organisms, but these studies have reported little evidence of a systematic increase in resistance associated with drugs used for treatment and prevention of mastitis.

However, while there is no compelling evidence that use of antimicrobials for treatment of mastitis has resulted in increased prevalence of resistant pathogens, ensuring continued efficacy of antimicrobials is a public health priority. The chapter therefore describes a wide range of studies identifying best practice in targeted use of antibiotics for prevention and appropriate treatment of mastitis. Principles for appropriate use include accurate, rapid and consistent detection and diagnostic protocols, good recording systems, initial assessment of a cow's medical history to determine likely benefit before treatment, an assessment of whether a bacterial infection can be effectively treated with available antibiotics, selection of an antibiotic appropriate for the aetiology of the disease with narrow-spectrum drugs preferred as the first choice and treatment for as short a period as possible. As the chapter shows, there is sufficient research evidence to help develop mastitis treatment protocols that vary depending on animal characteristics and the history of subclinical disease. Determination of aetiology is one of the most important steps in justifying antibiotic treatment.

Chapter 7 builds on themes identified in Chapters 2, 4 and 5 about the importance of appropriate safety management systems on dairy farms. It is written by experts from Canada, the United States and France which have market-leading safety management programmes in the dairy industry. The chapter looks first at international guidelines such as the *CAC Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products* and the *FAO and IDF Guide to Good Dairy Farming Practice* which provide a framework of best practice. These guidelines cover animal health, milking hygiene, nutrition, animal welfare, environment

and management. The main objective is to ensure that, 'Safe, quality milk is produced from healthy animals using management practices that are sustainable from an animal welfare, social, economic and environmental perspective'. The FAO/IDF Guide has been the inspiration for developing numerous national programmes integrating all aspects of dairy production.

The chapter then reviews on-farm safety programmes in Canada as an example of a leading national programme. Canadian on-farm food safety programmes are based on hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) principles widely used in the food processing sector as a whole. The National Dairy Code is the Canadian technical reference that provides guidance to all food safety aspects of dairy production and processing. This informs the Canadian Quality Milk programme which requires dairy farmers to implement critical control points (CCPs) relating to milking animals treated with veterinary drugs, cooling and storage of milk, and, finally, movement of animals.

These CCPs are underpinned by a reference manual describing a series of best management practices (BMP) to help prevent occurrence of on-farm food safety problems. The BMPs are the foundation of any HACCP programme. The eight BMPs deal with (1) dairy facilities, pesticides and nutrient management; (2) feed; (3) animal health; (4) medicines and chemicals used on livestock; (5) milking management; (6) facility and equipment sanitation; (7) use of water for cleaning milk contact surfaces; and (8) staff training and communication. A second key supporting document is a workbook to assist producers in developing standard operating procedures used on the farm in such areas as milking operations and hygiene. Once producers have complied with the programmes for a period of three months, a third-party validator assesses the conformity of the dairy operation with the on-farm food safety programme and provides appropriate certification. Third-party certification is important not just for verification purposes. By demonstrating quality assurance, it allows better market access for farmers. Farmers need to be convinced that the cost of running an on-farm food safety results in added value to their operations. On-farm food safety is also dependent on effective systems in related areas such as biosecurity and traceability. In recognition of the importance of a holistic approach, Canadian dairy producers have recently launched proAction. This initiative consists of a number of on-farm programmes integrating all aspects of dairying. These programmes cover milk quality and safety, animal welfare, animal health and biosecurity, traceability and environmental performance.

The chapter then includes summaries from leading national experts reviewing the scope and design of on-farm safety management programmes in the United States, France and Australia. A good example is the Australian Dairy Industry Sustainability Framework which includes 11 key targets with 36 measures. These focus on profitability, community resilience, occupational health and safety, operator training, product safety and quality, nutrition, animal care and environmental impact. The framework emphasizes the importance of regular review and improvement.

## Part 2 Sustainability

Chapter 8 provides an introduction to and overview of sustainability in dairy farming. It provides a context for the following chapters. As the chapter points out, there is no area of human activity more basic to society than a sustainable agricultural sector. Agriculture

faces the daunting challenge of meeting the needs of a growing world population of approximately 9–10 billion people in 2050 with the need to provide about 60–70% more food than is currently being produced. Farming must achieve this within the constraints of climate change whilst reducing its environmental impact in such areas as GHG emissions, water and energy use. As noted earlier, the FAO has estimated that global milk production, processing and transportation contributes 2.7% of total anthropogenic GHG emissions. At about 52% of the total, methane emissions from livestock contributes most to the global warming impact of milk production. The chapter reviews different ways of measuring the environmental impact of milk production together with problems in measurement and interpretation. As an example, the amount of water required to produce milk ranges from as little as 1 L/kg of milk to as much as 1000 L/kg of milk depending on the metric used, volumetric water footprints or water footprints based on life cycle assessment (LCA), the respective production system (grazing, mixed or industrial) and local water scarcity. These issues are addressed in Chapter 9.

Sustainability has been defined as ‘meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs’. The Sustainable Agriculture Initiative Platform Dairy Working Group has set out principles and practices for sustainable dairy farming based on a collaboration with the IDF, the FAO of the United Nations and with the Global Dairy Agenda for Action which includes the Dairy Sustainable Framework (DSF). The DSF is also discussed in Chapter 15. The DSF is focused on 11 key sustainability criteria relevant to the global dairy sector. These cover GHG emissions, soil health and nutrition, waste and water management, biodiversity, product safety and quality, animal welfare, market development, rural economies and working conditions. Other elements that may be added in the future include pollution, breeding and energy use. This broad concept of sustainability encompasses the need to:

- Ensure agricultural production continues to meet food and other needs;
- Enhance environmental quality and the resource base;
- Sustain the economic viability of agriculture; and
- Enhance the quality of life for farmers, farm workers and society as a whole.

As the chapter shows, different dairy systems face different challenges:

- *Smallholder mixed farming systems* face limited access to resources, markets and services; variable resource efficiency and big yield gaps; and have little capacity to adapt to a global economy.
- *Pastoral systems* must cope with conflicts for land and water, economic and political exclusion, social (including gender) inequity, poor animal health and high risks of zoonotic diseases.
- *Commercial grazing systems* face degradation of the natural grasslands they depend upon, conflicts with other sectors over land and resource use, poor conditions for workers and, in some cases, technical inefficiencies.
- *Intensive livestock systems* face environmental challenges resulting from intensification (land and water use, water, soil and air pollution); the potential harm to human and animal health created by antimicrobial resistance, the social consequences of intensification (rural abandonment, poor working conditions, low wages, vulnerability of migrant labour, occupational hazards); and economic risks

in the form of dependence on external inputs, including feed and energy, market concentration, price volatility and inequitable distribution of value added.

Established by the UN World Committee on Food Security, the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition (HLPE) has highlighted a number of priorities in improving the sustainability of dairy production, including the need to:

- Recognize the importance of smallholder mixed farming systems for food security and nutrition
- Recognize and support the unique role of pastoral systems
- Promote the sustainability of commercial grazing systems
- Address the specific challenges of intensive livestock systems

The chapter concludes with some practical examples of ways of improving sustainability. These include using anaerobic digestion of dairy manure to produce electricity on farms and for local electric grids, using anaerobic digestion to produce ethanol as a biofuel, recycling manure nutrients to reduce the amount of commercial fertilizer needed for crops, as well as separation of manure into liquid and solid components, with solids used as a bedding material and the liquid for fertigation of field crops.

Reducing the environmental impact of dairy farming requires an understanding of where the problem lies and setting targets for improvement. As Chapter 8 suggests, establishing targets for environmental performance can be challenging. This challenge is addressed in Chapter 9. Dairy farming is extremely diverse evolving in a very different geographical context which makes assessing the environmental impact extremely complex. The second challenge is that different methods and tools can be used in the assessment, giving very different results.

To address the first challenge, the FAO has developed a global typology of dairy production systems. This is based on two major feed-base system types, mixed- and grass-based, classified into three major agro-ecological zones: temperate regions, arid and semi-arid tropics, and sub-humid and humid tropics. This typology is used by the FAO to evaluate the environmental impact of dairy farming globally using the model it has developed: GLEAM (Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model).

In addressing the second challenge, LCA has become the internationally agreed method to address the complexity of interlinked and multiple impacts in food production. LCA helps identify effective approaches to reduce environmental burdens and evaluate the effect that changes within a production process may have on the overall life cycle balance of environmental burdens. This enables the identification and exclusion of measures that simply shift environmental problems from one phase of the life cycle to another. The International Standards Organization (ISO) has set out guidelines for the use of LCA. Chapter 9 summarizes key concepts in LCA methodology such as system boundaries, reference and functional units.

LCA still presents significant challenges and limits when applied to agriculture. First, the method is data-intensive which is a problem with biological systems (e.g. soil or climate) where data are difficult to collect. A second difficulty lies in the fact that methodological choices are still possible when following the ISO guidelines, such as defining the system boundary, functional units and method of allocation, which can make a big difference to the results, even with the same initial data. To help resolve these methodological issues, in 2012 the FAO launched the Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance

(LEAP) initiative. LEAP provides a platform for the harmonization of metrics and methods to monitor the environmental performance of the livestock supply chains. The partnership develops broadly recognized sector-specific guidelines and metrics for assessing and monitoring the environmental performance of the livestock sector. LEAP has published a number of LCA guides covering, for example, large ruminant products (milk and beef), feed supply chains and biodiversity assessment.

A key measure of environmental impact within LCA methodology is a product's carbon footprint. This measures the GHG emissions of a product throughout its life cycle in relation to a defined functional unit. The IDF has established a commonly accepted methodology for calculating carbon footprints in dairy farming. Comparing a large number of dairy farms using this harmonized method allowed dairy stakeholders to identify a 20% potential reduction in emissions if dairy farmers adopted practices used on the best-performing farms in such areas as type and quantity of feed and other aspects of herd management. The FAO has also published a comprehensive global assessment of emissions from the ruminant sector, based on a common methodology, which has identified key emission pathways and hot spots. This analysis suggested that a 30% reduction of GHG emission would be possible if producers in a given system, region and climate adopted the practices used by the 10% of producers with the lowest emissions.

The work of the FAO and IDF has allowed national dairy sectors to undertake their own initiatives. As an example, the French Livestock Institute has developed a tool, CAP'2ER, based on the harmonized LCA method, that can measure GHG emissions at the dairy farm level and identify areas for improvement. In 2015 the French dairy sector launched an ambitious carbon road map, 'the low carbon dairy farm'. Farm advisers were trained to use the CAP'2ER tool and went to visit more than 5000 volunteer dairy farmers to help them build an action plan to reduce emissions on their farms. The ambition of the French dairy sector is to reduce the carbon footprint of French milk by 20% in 2025. A similar approach has been developed by the Innovation Center for US Dairy using its 'Farm Smart' model.

Although impressive progress has been made, there remain many challenges in measuring environmental performance. Unlike carbon footprinting, which is now a straightforward documented procedure based on computing global warming potentials within the LCA, a range of methods for estimating water consumption have been developed which, as shown in Chapter 8, can give widely varying results. In 2016 both the FAO and IDF have launched initiatives to agree and implement a common methodology. Other areas for development include the assessment of biodiversity, carbon storage and, more broadly, ecosystem services in dairy farming.

Chapters 8 and 9 highlight the various different types of dairy farming system. Chapter 10 focuses specifically on forage-based dairy farming systems and their environmental impact. Current LCA research indicates that the global warming potential of forage-based farms is often about the same as intensive high concentrate-based dairy farms, because the decrease in carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is nullified by an increase in enteric methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). Feeding a dairy cow a diet high in concentrates increases digestibility, but is associated with higher inputs from growing and storing crops for feed, potential pollution issues from fertilizer runoff and the indirect costs of housing cattle in intensive systems. However, as the chapter shows, improvements in grazing systems using high-quality forage can result in similar enteric emissions as a higher-concentrate/confinement diet. This means that forage-based systems can represent a way to achieve low CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and indirect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with the result of a lower overall carbon

footprint. As well as requiring fewer inputs, perennial forages can also be good for soil health and biodiversity.

Extensive pasture systems are often associated with relatively poor forage quality, which reduces milk yield and cow health, increases enteric methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions and damages soil health. These problems can be addressed by improved pasture systems such as management-intensive [rotational] grazing (MIG). This is a system involving a high density of cows for a short period of time on a given paddock. The recovery period for the paddock is long enough to optimize forage yield and quality before cows are allowed to graze it again.

Chapter 10 looks at ways to optimize MIG systems to decrease enteric methane emissions, reduce nitrogen and phosphorus losses from grasslands as well as optimize soil health and biodiversity. As the chapter shows, maintaining high-quality pasture can reduce enteric emissions because of improved digestibility. Maintaining a highly digestible sward depends on factors such as choice of forage species and cultivar, including both grasses and legumes in the mix, timing and frequency of grazing initiation. The chapter looks at different species and mixes, including C4 grasses and warm-season legumes able to adapt to the likely impact of climate change. The chapter also reviews research on issues such as extending the grazing season and improving the evenness of forage production to optimize land use. It concludes by discussing case studies from Canada, Australia and New Zealand which demonstrate how managed pasture systems affect milk yield, profitability, emissions, soil health and biodiversity. These case studies suggest MIG is a lower-input system that can match milk yields while decreasing concentrate intake and maintaining enteric methane emissions to equivalent levels when compared to a typical confinement system. More research is needed in such areas as the best species, cultivars and mixtures to use, and best practices for pasture renovation. These issues are also discussed in Chapter 13 on organic dairy farming.

Chapters 8 and 9 highlight the issues of water and energy. Chapter 11 discusses improved energy and water management to minimize the environmental impact of dairy farming. As the chapter notes, direct energy uses are those where the energy is consumed on the farm. Examples are the use of electricity for lighting or milking and oil or diesel for crop cultivation. Indirect energy uses are those where the direct energy use occurs outside the farm boundaries. The energy use, therefore, is then embodied in the products used on the farm. Examples are energy used during the manufacture and transport of fertilizers or feed.

The chapter provides a detailed assessment of current studies quantifying direct and indirect energy use (i.e. energy use up to the farm-gate or along the entire life cycle) of production of dairy milk. As well as identifying the relative importance of different types of energy use, studies suggest savings of up to 40% or more by adopting best practices in energy management. The chapter also assesses the strengths and weaknesses of models such as DairyWise, FarmGHG, FarmSim and the Moorepark Dairy Systems Model in analysing energy use.

The chapter then discusses a case study assessing two main strategies to reduce electricity consumption in dairy milking facilities. 'Cost strategies' focus on measures to save on-farm electricity costs, such as moving to a new electricity tariff or moving energy-intensive processes such as water heating to off-peak periods when electricity price is lower. 'Energy strategies' aim to reduce electricity consumption, associated costs and GHG emissions. Possible 'energy strategies' are the use of pre-cooling of milk and solar thermal technologies to provide hot water for washing of milking equipment. Research

suggests, for example, that milking earlier in the morning and later in the evening could reduce electricity costs by 30% or more. The use of energy-efficient technologies such as a direct expansion or ice bank milk cooling system, together with solar panel technology, could reduce electricity costs by around 40%. Investment in technologies such as pre-cooling coupled with direct expansion milk cooling systems is attractive in both reducing costs and GHG emissions.

Given increasing concern about pressures on water resources, the chapter also looks at quantifying the water footprint of dairy farming, whether the consumption of soil moisture due to evapotranspiration (known as green water) or the consumption of groundwater and surface water (known as blue water). The chapter reviews the range of studies of farm water use as well as the role of measures such as the water stress index which measures water consumption impacts in relation to water scarcity. Studies show, for example, that around 25% of livestock drinking water on pasture-based dairy farms is wasted through leakage, as well as the benefits of improving water use monitoring and water recycling technologies.

A number of chapters such as Chapters 9 and 10 have highlighted the importance of biodiversity as a key aspect of sustainability. On dairy farms, biodiversity can include soil biodiversity, grass or pasture species, native vegetation, and other flora and fauna in the agricultural landscape matrix. Dairy farming can also affect aquatic, downstream estuarine and coastal biodiversity because of the nutrients, pesticides and sediments transported away from the farm through surface runoff and groundwater. As the chapter points out, the key to enhancing biological diversity within dairying landscapes is to increase heterogeneity at multiple scales – within the farm, between farms, from subcatchment to catchment scales and ultimately across whole landscapes.

High-intensity farming is often associated with more homogenous monoculture cropping systems that results in greater ecological disturbance and biodiversity loss. Impacts of dairy farming on biodiversity can include modifying the structure and species composition of ground cover and understorey vegetation; promoting exotic plant species invasions; reducing the regeneration of shade trees and increasing the mortality of remaining trees; reductions in populations of a broad range of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fish and invertebrates due to habitat degradation; and the compacting and degrading of soils which increases runoff, erosion, and the transportation of sediments and nutrients, which can ultimately change the morphology of streams. In addition, the runoff of faeces and urine in and near streams can cause contamination by a range of viruses, bacteria and parasitic protozoa and have a significant negative impact on water quality and stream biota. The consequences of these changes include localized degradation of many critical ecosystem services including nitrogen fixation, pollination, soil enrichment, facilitation of nutrient uptake by plants, pest and disease dynamics, and water purification.

Improving biodiversity involves balancing a range of economic, ecological and other factors. The chapter discusses the use of models such as the Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Tradeoffs model. It is used to predict changes in ecosystem services, biodiversity conservation and commodity production levels. In improving biodiversity, it is important to restore or introduce elements that increase habitat heterogeneity in the farms in a region. These elements may include semi-natural habitat features such as hedgerows, shelterbelts, ditches, woodlots, restored native forest, agroforestry blocks, wetlands and riparian planting of stream, river and other water body margins with native vegetation to provide ecological corridors. A key element is to connect these elements to allow for

better migration and dispersal with the wider agricultural matrix. Habitat improvements may also include the addition of flowering field margins suitable for pollinators and butterflies, dikes and reed beds for waterfowl, suitable pasture mowing regimes to attract field birds and cropland for foraging birds such as pheasants.

At the level of the individual dairy farm, it is possible to employ the concept of 'functional agrobiodiversity' which addresses both above- and below-ground biodiversity in dairy ecosystems by encouraging such services as nutrient cycling, disease control, pollination and water regulation. The measures to enhance functional agrobiodiversity focus on improving soil health and improving the cycles of nutrients, water and energy on the farm. Direct measures to support this may include outdoor grazing, protein-rich crops, herb-rich grassland, the establishment of permanent pasture, reductions in the use of agricultural chemicals and the use of green manure.

One of the most significant challenges to improving biodiversity is developing effective methods not just to convince individual farmers of the value of biodiversity but to convince them to work together to solve these landscape-level problems. The chapter looks at the main barriers to achieving commitment and collaboration amongst dairy farmers. The chapter concludes with a series of case studies illustrating both the challenges and the opportunities in improving biodiversity in the United States, Ireland and New Zealand. As an example, they show the impact of organic farming on biodiversity as well as the impact of riparian enhancement and other methods for biodiversity enhancement in New Zealand. These have both enhanced biodiversity and improved the farms themselves by reducing soil erosion, increasing shelter for stock and increasing pasture growth. The final case describes the results of a study identifying factors that affect dairy farmers' motivations to engage in conservation behaviour in New Zealand. As the chapter indicates, an ongoing challenge in assessing biodiversity initiatives is the limited amount of ecological monitoring data available across species and taxa.

Chapter 12 mentions the role of organic dairy farming in enhancing biodiversity. Chapter 13 looks more broadly at the environmental impact of organic dairy farming, picking up themes discussed in Chapter 10 on grassland management. Ruminants play a particularly important role in integrated organic systems, since they can efficiently utilize grassland resources, legume forages from crop rotations and crop residues, and provide valuable manure for the soil. Whilst not excluding the use of concentrates, organic standards prioritize the use of pasture and define minimum proportions of roughage in organic dairy cattle diets. However, as discussed in Chapter 10, the digestion of fibre is the most prominent source of enteric methane production in ruminants. This results in the apparent dilemma that the more a ruminant production system is based on roughages and avoids concentrates, the higher the methane emission is per unit of product. Ruminant methane production is thus the main factor which challenges the environmental sustainability of roughage-based ruminant production. Chapter 13 addresses this problem first by reviewing the range of studies comparing the effects of roughage and concentrate diets on outcomes such as milk yield and emissions.

The chapter then discusses the differing types of solution available to organic dairy farming. These solutions are to realize an efficient roughage-based production, which requires significantly less inputs from arable land than conventional systems; to enhance dairy cows' health and welfare, particularly their longevity; and to develop the right matches between local conditions (in particular available feed sources) and cow genotypes, in order to achieve functioning systems with the lowest possible need for external nutrient sources.

One way of improving the efficiency of organic dairy farming is to extend the productive life of cows. The productive lifespan of dairy cows in conventional dairy farming has considerably decreased over the past decades and has currently reached levels as low as 2.5 lactations in many industrialized countries. This reduced lifespan results in lower overall feed efficiency and higher relative emissions if calculated for the whole lifespan of the cow. In addition to increasing overall feed efficiency, a further advantage of a prolonged productive lifespan is that it provides the opportunity to produce more calves for fattening systems out of the dairy production. It has been shown that combined dairy and beef production systems have clear advantages when it comes to GHG emissions per unit of product, mainly because the GHG emission for beef can be reduced if calves originate from dairy systems. Improving longevity requires developing more robust breeds and improvements in herd management. Increased longevity is related to better overall animal health and welfare. Studies have shown that a holistic herd management approach, which integrates husbandry, breeding and nutrition, can improve overall animal health in organic farming.

A second area of research is in increasing roughage-sourced nutrient efficiency through better management of different forage qualities. This includes offering forages with nutrient compositions and at volumes better adjusted to the changing intake needs and digestive processes of ruminants at different times of the day. Studies have shown, for example, that sequential feeding of forages improved feed efficiency. Another approach is the targeted use of herbal feedstuffs which contain high amounts of plant secondary compounds able to influence ruminal fermentation processes. The chapter summarizes research on several individual tannin-rich plant species and their effects on ruminant protein metabolism. It also includes a case study from the Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL) in Switzerland, the 'Feed-no-Food' project, which demonstrated the feasibility of a primarily roughage-based feeding regime for some organic production systems.

A third way of improving the environmental impact of dairy farming is in breeding. Organic breeding emphasizes the importance of a particular combination of functional traits, aiming at healthy, fertile, long-lived cows, able to cope with local conditions while maintaining consistent milk production with little change in body condition throughout lactation. Another objective is dual-purpose breeds that combine good levels of milk yield with beef quality. On this basis, some countries have developed specific organic selection indices for breeding. The development of genomic breeding tools offers new opportunities to investigate functional traits relevant to the organic sector. The chapter includes a case study summarizing the EU 'LowInputBreeds' which aimed at developing integrated livestock breeding and management strategies to improve animal health, product quality and performance in European organic milk production systems. The project results showed that there is considerable potential in the exploitation of innovative breeding tools for the organic dairy sector.

Building on the broader concept of sustainability discussed in Chapter 8, the final two chapters in Part 2 look more widely at the impact of dairy farming. As noted earlier, dairy production provides livelihoods for approximately 1 billion people and serves over 6 billion consumers. As Chapter 14 points out, global bovine milk production is 600 million tonnes with the top ten producing countries accounting for just over 56% of world production. The chapter looks at various indicators of production, consumption, price fluctuations and global trade in dairy products. As with overall production and consumption, a general expansion in trade of dairy products is expected with increased exports from the countries/regions such as the United States, EU, Australia and New Zealand which is the

world's largest exporter of dairy commodities, representing approximately one-third of international dairy trade each year.

The chapter then looks in more detail at trends in milk production in the EU, the United Kingdom and New Zealand. The chapter concludes that it is evident that dairy farming is now a globally integrated industry. It is influenced by climate which can reduce feed availability and thus increase feed prices but can also lead to global oversupply affecting the prices that farmers can realize for their dairy products. This level of global integration is one reason why the viability of dairy farming has dropped and led to the number of dairy farms falling in many countries, whilst others have seen some increase primarily to meet increasing domestic demand for liquid milk as well as for the increasing trade in value-added products such as cheese and milk powders. The number of cows for the highest producing countries has tended to rise, more so recently, with those entering the market also demonstrating some increase. In other areas cow numbers have fallen. Average herd size is also increasing as farmers build on the need for some economies of scale in order to survive. Fluctuations in production levels have occurred, but are generally increasing. For the future, dairy production will remain profitable for many, there may be fewer producers better equipped to compete in a global market. Three key areas for these more successful producers will be closer working relationships within the industry, continued technical improvement, and product innovation.

Building on Chapter 14 and the opening paragraph of the Introduction, Chapter 15 seeks to assess the overall impact of the dairy sector in such areas as its economic impact, its role in nutrition and its effects on the environment. Given its scope, it covers many topics which are addressed in both Parts 2 and 3. As it points out, the Introduction to the 2016 Global Food Policy Report by the International Food Policy Research Institute notes that a food system that promotes the well-being of both people and the planet should be:

- Efficient
- Inclusive
- Climate-smart
- Sustainable
- Nutrition- and health-driven
- Business-friendly

The chapter explores the degree to which the dairy sector meets these criteria.

As it points out, analysis undertaken by the International Farm Comparisons Network and published by the FAO has determined that 750–900 million people live on approximately 150 million dairy farms. Many of these are smallholder farmers living in developing nations where dairy is indispensable to their livelihoods. As noted earlier, latest estimates are that 240 million people are either employed directly or indirectly in the dairy sector, whilst up to 1 billion people derive a significant proportion of their livelihoods from dairy if employment throughout the whole of the dairy chain is included.

In addition to providing a livelihood for approximately one-seventh of the world's population, dairy production provides an important source of nutrition for over six billion people. Milk makes a significant contribution to meeting the body's needs for a variety of macro and micro nutrients including protein, calcium, magnesium, selenium, riboflavin, and vitamins B5 and B12. In addition to providing a wide range of micronutrients, global milk production contributes on an average per capita/per day basis: 134 kcal of energy,

8.3 g of protein, and 7.6 g fat; or 5%, 10% and 9% of global food energy, protein and fat, respectively.

Dairy consumption can also deliver substantial positive health outcomes through improved metabolic health, lower insulin resistance and improved muscular skeletal health, by reducing dental caries and by reducing the incidence of cardiovascular disease, hypertension and type 2 diabetes. The possible association of dairy consumption with certain cancers, with type 1 diabetes and (for whole fat dairy products) with heart disease all look unlikely given the findings from recent meta-analysis and the balance of scientific evidence. The nutritional value of milk means that dairy consumption could translate into substantial reductions in national health care costs. As an example, a study in the United States concluded that consumption of 3–4 servings of dairy per day could translate into cumulative five-year savings of over US\$200 billion. In less developed countries, milk and dairy products can reduce micronutrient deficiency, malnutrition and stunting or low height-for-age.

As these figures make clear, the dairy sector has a huge impact on livelihoods and nutrition around the world. This can also be seen in the current scale of production and likely future demand. In 2015 global milk production reached approximately 800 billion litres. Dairy (including cow and buffalo milk) is the world's number one traded agricultural food by value. The FAO predicts that demand for milk could grow to approximately 1.1 trillion litres by 2050. If demand for milk matched current dietary recommendations by 2050 then 9.6 billion people will require over 1.7 trillion litres of milk/year or more than double the current production.

A key issue is the environmental impact and sustainability of increasing milk production. As Chapter 15 points out, dairy farming utilizes 1 billion hectares (ha) or 7% of the world's land to feed the major milking species (cows, buffaloes, goats and sheep). Of the 1 billion ha, 85% or 850 million ha is either pastures or rangeland, with 150 million ha of arable land also used to produce feed for dairy animals. Dairy cows consume 2.5 billion tons of dry matter or approximately 40% of the global livestock feed intake. As noted, dairy also generates 2.7% of total anthropogenic GHG emissions or on average 2.4 kg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per kg of milk produced.

Dairy farming has made some impressive improvements in productivity through advances in breeding and feeding of dairy cows together with improved management of dairy farms. As an example, in the United States over the past sixty years, milk yield has increased more than fourfold while using 90% less land, 65% less water, producing 75% less manure and at 63% less GHG per unit of milk. Based on such advances, it has been estimated that it is possible to produce over one trillion litres of milk with fewer cows and at average GHG emissions that are 40% lower than today, though this may involve reducing the number of smallholders involved in dairy production. As the chapter indicates, globally 85% of the land used for dairying is pasture or rangeland and 77% of the feed consumed by dairy animals is from pasture and straws. This creates a solid platform from which to make improvements to dairy farming systems to reduce GHG emission per unit of milk production.

Recognizing the complexity of the challenge and the need for common global frameworks to be locally relevant and applicable, the dairy sector has developed a comprehensive DSF, previously discussed in Chapter 8. The DSF is composed of eleven sustainability criteria covering socio-economic and ecological aspects of the dairy chain. The DSF provides a common way for the dairy sector to make and measure progress towards more sustainable food systems. So far the DSF is being used to assist hundreds of dairy organizations to

implement around two hundred sustainability-related initiatives. Participation in the DSF is growing rapidly with 27% of global milk production already operating under the DSF covering over 30 million cows, 658,000 farms and 3,700 processing plants worldwide. As Chapter 15 concludes, calls by some to limit dairy production and consumption on environmental or nutritional grounds do not look valid given the balance of current knowledge. The way forward is in initiatives such as the DSF as well as the kind of research on ways of improving milk production summarized in the other chapters in Part 2.

## Part 3 Improving quality, safety and sustainability in developing countries

As Chapter 16 makes clear, much dairy production is still undertaken by smallholders in developing countries. The chapter looks at ways of helping smallholder dairy (SHD) farmers in Asia. The Asia-Pacific region has seen the world's highest growth in demand for milk and dairy products. The consumption of milk and dairy products in Asia has doubled over the last 30 years, now contributing to more than 60% of the total increases in global consumption. Even though Asia has increased its milk output (as a percentage of global production) from 15% in 1981 to 37% in 2011, it still accounts for over 40% of the world's total dairy imports. Most Asian countries still rely heavily on imported dairy products.

In Asia, as in the rest of the developing world, 80% of milk is produced by SHD farmers. Smallholder farms generally yield low outputs of milk per animal. Typical milk yields per cow per day still range between 8 and 10 kg as compared to average yields of 20 to 30 kg in developed countries. General factors limiting smallholder production include:

- Institutional factors, such as dairy cooperatives, suppliers of credit, training and extension services
- Government policies, such as development programmes, milk promotion and dairy boards
- Socio-economic factors, such as farmer education, off-farm jobs and traditional beliefs
- Technical factors, which can be further categorized into feeding, breeding and health
- Post-farm-gate factors, such as milk processing, marketing and consumption

Specific on-farm issues and areas for improvement include:

- *Low cow productivity*: improve management of feeding, reproductive management and milk harvesting
- *Low milk prices*: reduce costs of production, improve milk quality, mediate on milk pricing and find alternative markets
- *Poor milk quality*: improve milking hygiene at both farm and post-farm-gate, improve milk composition through better feeding management
- *Poor feed quality and availability*: identify better forage species (e.g. legumes), better quality control of concentrate supplies and utilize marginal land for forages

- *Cooperative management*: reduce management structure and merge small cooperatives, improve post-harvest technology and improve calf and heifer rearing practices

The chapter concludes by reviewing various ways to help smallholders improve their performance as well as key performance indicators to measure success. The chapter concludes with a case study of investment in 'cow colonies', large dairy sheds holding 50 or more cows that are owned by a number of smallholder farmers. As the chapter shows, this attempt to help smallholders pool resources more efficiently has had mixed results. The problems associated with cow colonies show the need to take a holistic view which accounts for each step in the dairy value chain.

Mirroring the situation in Asia, an estimated 80% of the milk produced in Africa is from smallholder farming systems where producers rear less than ten head of cattle on land sizes that vary from 0.2 to 4 hectares. Issues such as breeding management, cattle feed resources, water, animal health and animal limit the potential productivity achievable. Low nutrient availability and environmental factors such as diseases, high ambient temperatures and the housing environment for high-yielding cows significantly impact their milk production and reproductive performance. However, advances in agricultural technologies, better production practices, suitably adapted cattle breeding programmes, innovation platforms and organized farmer support groups present new opportunities for realizing significant productivity gains in SHD farming systems.

A key area for improvement is breeding. Smallholder farmers rear a mosaic of genotypes comprising combinations of exotic and indigenous breeds. Most countries lack national programmes for selective breeding, livestock performance monitoring or systematic crossbreeding of their populations. One of the greatest technical challenges in optimizing utilization of breed resources in smallholder production systems is how to match livestock genotypes to local production conditions. There is an increasing amount of information available at country level on the diversity, characteristics and use of different cattle breeds in Africa through web-based electronic resources. These include the Domestic Animal Genetic Resources Information Systems available through the International Livestock Research Institute (and the Domestic Animal Diversity Information System available through the FAO). The potential of these resources can be seen, for example, in a recent study of indigenous breeds crossbred with exotic *Bos taurus* breeds of dairy cattle. The resulting crossbreeds demonstrated higher milk yields, increased lactation lengths, shorter calving intervals and a lower age at first calving compared with the local breeds.

Advances in high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) technology, which enables genotyping of an individual at low cost, present an opportunity for revolutionary changes in the genetic analysis of populations and genetic improvement programmes. SNP technology offers an opportunity to reconstruct pedigrees of crossbred animals, increase the accuracies of breeding value estimations, lower rates of inbreeding, and reduce generation intervals in dairy cattle breeding. Other improved breeding techniques also include assortative and non-assortative mating, oestrus synchronization in combination with artificial insemination (AI) using sexed semen as well as embryo transfer. Community-based breeding programmes also offer opportunities for making better use of available genetic resources using crossbreeding as a first stepping stone, and AI to disseminate improved genetic material amongst farmers.

Availability and quality of animal feed has been identified as one of the greatest constraints to improving dairy productivity within smallholder farming systems of

sub-Saharan Africa. Development of fodder banks, improved pasture species, planted legumes and feed supplementation with crop by-products would result in better-quality diets for dairy cattle (a theme echoed in Chapters 10 and 13). Novel livestock feeds based on crop species more suited to conditions in sub-Saharan Africa are being used as an alternative sources of carbohydrates and proteins for animals. These include cassava roots and by-products, dual-purpose sorghum varieties (for grain and fodder) and use of sweet potato vines and roots. Several manuals have been developed with country- and region-specific information on good feeding and management practices for dairy cattle.

Vector-borne diseases, notably East coast fever spread by ticks, trypanosomiasis spread by tsetse flies and anaemia caused by worm infestations, limit dairy productivity in many areas of sub-Saharan Africa. Parasitic diseases in particular cause serious losses in dairy productivity through both mortality and morbidity of animals in smallholder farming systems. Diseases related to production and management of animals such as mastitis, foot-and-leg problems, and reproduction and feed associated-disorders are also a challenge in many smallholder farms. The development of community-led animal health strategies such as vaccination programmes led by farmer groups, and implemented by veterinarians and community animal health workers, together with community-based disease and vector control (e.g. community dip tanks and community-coordinated rotational grazing) could greatly benefit SHD farmers in Africa. Building on the theme of cooperation, the chapter looks at the role of groups such as dairy cooperatives and dairy hubs as well as ways of strengthening SHD value chains.

The final chapter in the book, Chapter 18, combines issues of sustainability and development, picking up and developing themes identified in both Chapters 13 and 17. In African countries, organic farming is practised on almost 1.3 million ha or about 0.1% of the total agricultural area of the continent. The chapter reviews the challenges and opportunities for developing organic dairy farming in Africa in areas such as breeds and breeding techniques such as AI; fertility and reproduction; housing, grazing and feed; disease prevention and management; and milking techniques, milk collection and storage.

## Summary

The chapters in this book highlight the ongoing challenges dairy farming faces from pathogens and other hazards such as chemical contaminants. They show the need for better on-farm detection techniques as well as adaptation of best practices in dairy farm safety management to the conditions faced by smallholder farmers in the developing world. They also show the huge challenge of improving the sustainability of milk production as production increases to match demand, for example, in optimizing pasture-based systems to reduce methane emissions. The volume shows the progress the sector is making in setting appropriate environmental standards and implementing improvements as well as developing particular ways of dealing with emissions, energy and water use.

# Index

- Acidity tests 32  
Acquired resistance 121  
AFB1. *see* Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1)  
Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) 101  
Aflatoxin M1 (AFM1) 102  
Aflatoxins 101–105  
    description 101–102  
    diagnostics 103  
    impacts 102–103  
        animal health 102–103  
        economic 103  
        human health 102  
    prevention and management 103–105  
AFM1. *see* Aflatoxin M1 (AFM1)  
African Dairy Genetic Gains 350  
African Union-Inter-African Bureau for Animal Resources (AU-IBAR) 354  
Agri-Traçabilité Québec (ATQ) 142  
Agro-pastoral, and pastoral systems 339  
AI. *see* Artificial insemination (AI)  
Alcohol test 32  
AMUL. *see* Gujarat Cooperative Milk Marketing Federation Ltd (GCMMF)  
Animal Care Assessment Framework 141  
Animal handling structures, and living environment 343–344  
Animal health 102–103, 107, 108, 110, 111, 375–376  
    and biosecurity 141  
    and disease control 342–343  
    impacts of contaminants in dairy feed 99  
    and welfare 141, 255–256  
Animal traceability 142  
Antibiotics, for mastitis treatment 124–129  
    against bacterial infections 127–129  
    cow medical history 126  
    detection and diagnostic protocols 124  
    duration of use 125–126  
    overview 117–118  
    selection 124–125  
Antimicrobial resistance  
    clinical relevance of 121–122  
    of mastitis pathogens 122–123  
    overview 117–118  
    use of 118–121  
Arla 278  
Artificial insemination (AI) 252, 342, 344, 347  
Atlantic Pasture Research Centre 194  
Atomic absorption spectrometry 111  
ATQ. *see* Agri-Traçabilité Québec (ATQ)  
AU-IBAR. *see* African Union-Inter-African Bureau for Animal Resources (AU-IBAR)  
Australian Dairy Food Safety Regulatory Framework 150  
Australian dairy industry 149  
    description 149  
    issues management framework 152–153  
    regulatory framework 150–152  
    sustainability framework 150  
Australian Dairy Industry Council 150  
Australian Dairy Research Council 158  
Autonomous systems 169  
*Bacillus cereus* 6, 15  
Bactofugation 13  
BCF. *see* Biodiversity Condition Fund (BCF)  
Best management practices (BMP) 139  
Beverage hydration index (BHI) 297  
BHI. *see* Beverage hydration index (BHI)  
Biodiversity  
    in dairy farming 227–242  
        catchment case studies in South Island 237–241  
        effects of grazing on bog turtle in USA 232–234  
        engaging farmers in 231–232  
        enhancement 229–231  
        impacts 228–229  
        mixed methods, in New Zealand 236–237  
        organic, in Ireland and New Zealand 234–235  
        overview 227–228  
        riparian plantings in New Zealand 236  
    impacts 179–180  
        ecosystem services 180  
        method to assess 179–180  
Biodiversity Condition Fund (BCF) 237  
Biodiversity International 304  
Biological hazards 96, 106–108  
Biosensors 46  
Bio Suisse 256  
Bird's-foot trefoil 252  
Blue WF (BWF) 220–221  
BMP. *see* Best management practices (BMP)  
BMSCC. *see* Bulk milk SCC (BMSCC)  
Bog turtle 232–234  
Bovine milk 64  
Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) 97, 99  
Breed heifers 352

- Breeding technologies  
 delivery of services 350–352  
 models for heifer production 351–352  
 production and access  
 to improved sires 351  
 programmes 350–351  
 and reproductive 348–349  
 by smallholder farmers in SSA 345–348  
 adoption and use of AI 347  
 cross-breeding 346  
 generating replacement animals 348  
 livestock recording 347–348  
 matching genotypes to production  
 environments 345–346
- Breeds 371–372
- British Cheese Board 284
- Brucella* spp., 17, 35
- Brundtland, Gro 158
- BSE. *see* Bovine spongiform  
 encephalopathy (BSE)
- Buffalo milk 366–367
- Bulk milk SCC (BMSCC) 45
- Butter 72
- BWF. *see* Blue WF (BWF)
- CAC. *see* Codex Alimentarius Commission  
 (CAC)
- Calcium hypochlorite 74, 83
- Calcul Automatisé des Performances  
 Environnementales en Elevage de  
 Ruminants (CAP'2ER) 177
- Calf nursery model 352
- California Mastitis Test (CMT) 33, 45
- Camel milk 367
- Campylobacter jejuni* 13–14, 16
- Canada  
 on-farm sustainability  
 programmes in 138–142  
 Canadian Quality Milk (CQM) 138–140  
 integration in proAction 140–142
- Canadian dairy farms,  
 food safety on 136–138  
 Codex Alimentarius Commission 136  
*Guide to Good Dairy Farming Practice* 137  
 HACCP system 136  
 National Dairy Code 138  
 on-farm 137–138
- Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) 139
- Canadian On-Farm Food Safety  
 (COFFS) 137, 138
- Canadian Quality Milk (CQM) 138–140
- CAP. *see* Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)
- CAP'2ER. *see* Calcul Automatisé des  
 Performances Environnementales en  
 Elevage de Ruminants (CAP'2ER)
- Carbon footprint, product 176–177  
 CAP'2ER 177  
 method 176–177
- Care, organic agriculture 368
- Casein 48, 50
- CBBP. *see* Community-based breeding  
 programmes (CBBP)
- CCP. *see* Critical Control Points (CCP)
- Centrifugation 13
- CFIA. *see* Canadian Food Inspection Agency  
 (CFIA)
- CFS. *see* Committee on World Food Security  
 (CFS)
- Chain cleavage 75
- Charter 147–149  
 controversies about livestock  
 production 148–149  
 management practices and collective  
 involvement 147  
 quality of management practices 148  
 training and networking 148
- Cheese  
 composition 50  
 and pathogenic microorganisms 13–15  
 outbreaks related to consumption 15–18  
 ripened 72
- Chemical contaminants 63–86  
 cleaning and disinfecting products 74–85  
 chlorate residues 82–84  
 marketing of food products 81–82  
 milking equipment 79  
 practice for chlorate use 84–85  
 trichloromethane (TCM) 75–78  
 iodine 64–69  
 animal dietary requirements 65–66  
 cows' diet as source of 64  
 experimental study 65–69  
 veterinary medicines 69–74  
 analysis of flukicide residues 70  
 significance 73–74  
 stability of residues during  
 manufacturing 73  
 stability of residues during storage and  
 freezing 73  
 transfer of residues from milk to  
 product 72–73  
 treatment during dry period 71–72  
 treatment during lactation 71
- Chemical hazards 96

- Chlorate
  - on-farm practice 84–85
  - in treated water 85
  - residues as contaminant 82–84
    - analysis 83–84
- Chlorine 74–75
- Chronic mastitis 44
- CIP. *see* Cleaning-In-Place (CIP) plant
- Cleaning and disinfecting products 74–85
- chlorate residues 82–84
  - analysis 83–84
  - marketing of food products 81–82
  - milking equipment 79
    - rinseability of detergent/  
disinfectants 80–81
  - on-farm practice for chlorate use 84–85
    - in treated water 85
  - trichloromethane (TCM) 75–78
    - as by-product of disinfection 75–76
    - in drinking water 76–77
    - in food 77
    - haloform reaction 75
    - human exposure to 76
    - metabolisation of 78
    - toxic effects of 77–78
- Cleaning-In-Place (CIP) plant 80
- Climate change models 271
- Clinical mastitis 44
- Closantel 71, 72
- Clostridium botulinum* 6, 7
- Clot-on-boiling test 32
- CMT. *see* California Mastitis Test (CMT)
- Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products 136, 152
- Code of Practice for Handling of Dairy Cattle 141
- Code of Practice on Good Animal Feeding* 101
- Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) 100, 101, 136
- COFFS. *see* Canadian On-Farm Food Safety
- Commercial grazing systems 161
- Committee on World Food Security (CFS) 306, 307
- Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) 274
- Community-based breeding programmes (CBBP) 350
- Composite samples SCC (CSSCC) 45
- Concentrates 95–96
- Conductance test 33
- Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection 233
- Consumptive water use (CWU) 221
- Contract mating 352
- Cost reduction strategies 215, 218
- Cow colonies 331–333
- Critical Control Points (CCP) 139
- Cross-breeding 341, 346
- Cross-contamination 109, 110
- CSSCC. *see* Composite samples SCC (CSSCC)
- CWU. *see* Consumptive water use (CWU)
- CYP2E1 enzyme 78
- DAD-IS. *see* Domestic Animal Diversity Information System (DAD-IS)
- DAGRIS. *see* Domestic Animal Genetic Resources Information Systems (DAGRIS)
- Dairy cattle feed
  - contaminants in 96–111
    - description 96–97
    - diagnosis of 100
    - economic and animal health impacts of 99
    - human health impacts of 98–99
    - management principles 101
    - safety governance 100
  - hazards in 101–111
    - aflatoxins 101–105
    - dioxins 108–109
    - heavy metals 110–111
    - mycotoxins 105–106
    - other biological 108
    - other chemical 109
    - Salmonella* 106–108
    - veterinary drug residues 109–110
  - importance of 96
  - overview 95
  - types 95–96
- Dairy cooperatives 353
- Dairy Development Authority 377
- Dairy Farmers of Canada 141
- Dairy farming. *see also* Organic dairy farming
  - biodiversity in 227–242
    - catchment case studies in South Island 237–241
    - effects of grazing on bog turtle in USA 232–234
    - engaging farmers in 231–232
    - enhancement 229–231
    - impacts 228–229
    - mixed methods, in New Zealand 236–237
    - organic, in Ireland and New Zealand 234–235
    - overview 227–228
    - riparian plantings in New Zealand 236
    - energy use in 211–220

- efficiency incentives 213–214
- energy consumption modelling 214
- overview 212–213
- results, analysis and recommendations 218–220
- strategies to reduce 214–218
- environmental targets for 173–181
  - biodiversity impacts assessing 179–180
  - challenges and limits 180–181
  - global typology of dairy production systems 174
  - life cycle assessment (LCA) 174–179
  - overview 173–174
- forage systems management for 183–199
  - greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and climate change 185–188
  - management-intensive grazing (MIG) 194–196
  - overview 183–185
  - soil quality, biodiversity and land use optimization 188–194
- and milk production 267–289
  - European Union (EU) 274–278
  - global 267–274
  - in New Zealand 284–286
  - overview 267
  - in United Kingdom 278–284
- sustainability 157–171
  - beyond 2050, 169–170
  - challenge 164–165
  - defining 158–161
  - future 165–169
  - overview 157–158
  - status of global 161–164
- water use in 220–221
- Dairy herd improvement (DHI) 45
- Dairy hubs 353
- Dairy Management Inc., 143
- DairyNZ 195–196, 236
- Dairy Power Summit (2009) 166
- Dairy Primary Production and Processing Standard 151
- Dairy production systems, in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)
  - breeding technologies by smallholder farmers 345–348
  - adoption and use of AI 347
  - cross-breeding 346
  - generating replacement animals 348
  - livestock recording 347–348
  - matching genotypes to environments 345–346
  - smallholder systems 339–341
    - cattle breeds reared in 340–341
    - classification 339–340
- Dairy sector
  - ecological impact of 300–304
    - on environment 301–302
    - nutritional value vs. environmental impact 302–304
  - in New Zealand 284–286
  - overview 291–294
  - socio-economic impact 294–300
    - on livelihoods 294–296
    - on nutrition 296–298
    - reasons for low milk consumption 298–300
  - sustainable diets 304–305
  - sustainable food and dairy production 305–309
    - in United Kingdom 278–284
- Dairy Sustainability Alliance 143
- Dairy Sustainability Framework (DSF) 164, 306
- Dairy Trades Federation 278
- Dairyville 2020, 165–167
- DairyWise 214
- DAWR. *see* Department of Agriculture and Water Resources' (DAWR)
- DBPs. *see* Disinfection by-products (DBPs)
- DDDs. *see* Defined daily dosages (DDDs)
- Defined daily dosages (DDDs) 119
- Demand side management (DSM) 213
- Department of Agriculture and Water Resources' (DAWR) 151, 152
- Design and Implementation of Identification Systems to Achieve Animal Traceability 142
- DHI. *see* Dairy herd improvement (DHI)
- DIAAS. *see* Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score (DIAAS)
- 'Dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition,' 298
- Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score (DIAAS) 298
- Dioxin-like compounds (DLC) 109
- Dioxins 108–109
  - description 108
  - diagnostics 109
  - impacts 108
    - animal health 108
    - economic 108
    - human health 108
    - prevention 109
- Direct expansion (DX) 217
- Direct pathogens testing 30–31, 33–34

- milk ring 34
  - on-farm culture 33–34
- Disinfection by-products (DBPs) 75
- DLC. *see* Dioxin-like compounds (DLC)
- Domestic Animal Diversity Information System (DAD-IS) 349
- Domestic Animal Genetic Resources Information Systems (DAGRIS) 349
- 3-D printing 169
- DSF. *see* Dairy Sustainability Framework (DSF)
- DSM. *see* Demand side management (DSM)
- DX. *see* Direct expansion (DX)
- 'Dynamic Movers,' 214
  
- ECD. *see* Electron capture detector (ECD)
- ECM. *see* Energy corrected milk (ECM)
- Ecology 368
- Economic impacts 99, 103, 107, 108, 110, 111
- EDRP. *see* Energy demand research project (EDRP)
- Electricity consumption model 215–216
  - flat and day and night tariffs 215
  - real time pricing (RTP) tariffs 215–216
- Electron capture detector (ECD) 76
- Energy corrected milk (ECM) 213
- Energy demand research project (EDRP) 213
- Energy management, in dairy farming
  - analysis and recommendations 218–220
    - cost reduction strategies 218
    - energy reduction strategies 218–220
    - technology requirements 220
  - efficiency incentives 213–214
  - energy consumption modelling 214
  - overview 212–213
  - reduction strategies 214–218
    - description 217–218
    - MECD 215–216
    - model inputs 216–217
    - profitability module 217
- Escherichia coli* 4
- Ethanol generation, and dairy farming 167–168
- European Commission 271
- Exchange Rate Mechanism 278
- Exotic genotypes 341
- Extensive mixed crop–livestock systems 339
  
- FAC. *see* Free available chlorine (FAC)
- Fairness, in organic agriculture 368
- Family/kinship breeding 253
- Fan, Shenggen 305
- FAO. *see* Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)
- FARA. *see* Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA)
- FARM. *see* Farmers Assuring Responsible Management (FARM)
- Farm Act (2014) 270
- Farmers Assuring Responsible Management (FARM) 143–145
  - Animal Care Program 143–144
  - Antibiotic Stewardship 144
  - Environmental Stewardship 144–145
- Fat, and mastitis 48
- Fat and protein corrected milk (FPCM) 213
- FDA. *see* Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
- Feed, and fodder 342, 370–371
- Feeding technologies 350
- 'Feed-no-Food' project 256–257
- Fertility, and reproduction 374–375
- FiBL. *see* Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL)
- First Milk 278, 279
- Flat, and day&night tariffs 215
- Flukicide residues analysis 70
- Fonterra 286
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 100, 101, 137, 291, 304
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 142
- Food safety 141
  - Australian dairy industry
    - description 149–153
    - regulatory framework 150–152
    - safety issues 152–153
    - sustainability framework 150
  - on Canadian dairy farms 136–138
  - Codex Alimentarius Commission 136
    - Guide to Good Dairy Farming Practice* 137
  - HACCP system 136
  - National Dairy Code 138
  - on-farm 137–138
  - on-farm sustainability programmes
    - in Canada 138–142
    - in France 145–149
    - in United States of America 142–145
  - overview 135–136
- Food Safety Enhancement Program (FSEP) 137
- Food safety plan (FSP) 151
- Food security and nutrition (FSN) 306
- Food Standards Australia and New Zealand (FSANZ) 151–152
- Forage systems management
  - management-intensive grazing (MIG) 194–196

- minimizing environmental impacts in
    - perennial 185–194
    - greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and climate change 185–188
    - soil quality, biodiversity and land use optimization 188–194
  - overview 183–185
- Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA) 354
- FPCM. *see* Fat and protein corrected milk (FPCM)
- France
  - on-farm sustainability
    - programmes in 145–149
    - Charter 147–149
    - technical areas of beef and dairy production 146–147
- Free available chlorine (FAC) 75
- French Charter for Good Agricultural Practices. *see* Charter
- French Livestock Institute 177
- French Ministry of Agriculture 145
- FSANZ. *see* Food Standards Australia and New Zealand (FSANZ)
- FSEP. *see* Food Safety Enhancement Program (FSEP)
- FSN. *see* Food security and nutrition (FSN)
- FSP. *see* Food safety plan (FSP)
- Fuel cells 168–169
- Future Farm Online 195
  
- GAP. *see* Good Agricultural Practices (GAP)
- GCMMF. *see* Gujarat Cooperative Milk Marketing Federation Ltd (GCMMF)
- General Principles of Food Hygiene 136
- Genomics 170
- Genomic Selection (GS)-based schemes 349
- GHG. *see* Greenhouse gas (GHG)
- GLEAM. *see* Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model (GLEAM)
- GLEAMi. *see* Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model-interactive (GLEAMi)
- Global Food Policy Report 305
- Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model (GLEAM) 174
- Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model-interactive (GLEAMi) 174
- Global warming potential 302
- GMP. *see* Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP)
- Goat milk 366
- Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) 101
- Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) 101
  
- Good Production Practices (GPPs) 138
- Grade 'A' Pasteurized Milk Ordinance (PMO) 138, 142–143
- Gram-negative bacteria 128
- Gram-positive bacteria 128
- Grassland management
  - for biodiversity 191–193
  - in changing climate 187–188
  - to reduce enteric methane emissions and concentrate feeding 185–187
  - for soil health 190–191
- Greenhouse gas (GHG) 176, 185–188, 211, 304
  - enteric methane emissions and concentrate feeding 185–187
  - grassland management and climate change 187–188
- GS. *see* Genomic Selection (GS)-based schemes
- Guide to Good Animal Welfare in Dairy Production 141
- Guide to Good Dairy Farming Practice* 100, 137, 140
- Gujarat Cooperative Milk Marketing Federation Ltd (GCMMF) 295
  
- HACCP. *see* Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP)
- Halogenation 75
- Hand-milking technique 376
- Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) 136, 138–139
- Hazards, in dairy cattle feed 101–111
  - aflatoxins 101–105
  - dioxins 108–109
  - heavy metals 110–111
  - mycotoxins 105–106
  - other biological 108
  - other chemical 109
  - Salmonella* 106–108
  - veterinary drug residues 109–110
- Head-space gas chromatography (HS-GC) 76
- Heavy metals 110–111
  - description 110
  - diagnostics 111
  - impacts 110–111
  - prevention 111
- Henderson, C. R 170
- High Level Panel of Experts (HLPE) 306–308
- High-performance liquid chromatography-electrochemical detection (HPLC-ECD) 70
- High resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) 109
- HLPE. *see* High Level Panel of Experts (HLPE)

- Housing, and grazing 373–374
- HPLC-ECD. *see* High-performance liquid chromatography-electrochemical detection (HPLC-ECD)
- HRMS. *see* High resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS)
- HS-GC. *see* Head-space gas chromatography (HS-GC)
- Human health impacts, in dairy feed 98–99, 102, 106–111
- Husbandry, and breeding management 344
- Hypochlorites 74, 83, 84
- 
- Ice Bank (IB) milk cooling system 218
- ICN. *see* International Conference on Nutrition (ICN)
- IDF. *see* International Dairy Federation (IDF)
- IFCN. *see* International Farm Comparisons Network (IFCN)
- IFOAM. *see* International Federation of Organic Agricultural Movement (IFOAM)
- IFPRI. *see* International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI)
- ILRI. *see* International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI)
- IMI. *see* Intramammary infections (IMI)
- IMM. *see* Intramammary (IMM) infusion
- Immuno-biosensors 46
- Indigenous genotypes 341
- Indirect pathogens testing 30–33
  - acidity tests 32
  - organoleptic 31–32
  - somatic cell counts (SCCs) 32–33
- Industrial systems 339
- Information, and communications
  - technologies 349–350
- Information science 170
- Innovation Center for U.S. Dairy 143
- Institut de l'Élevage 145
- Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Tradeoffs (InVEST) 230
- Intensive livestock systems 161
- Intensive mixed crop-livestock systems 339
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 174
- International Conference on Nutrition (ICN) 307
- International Dairy Federation (IDF) 33, 44, 137
- International Farm Comparisons Network (IFCN) 292
- International Federation of Organic Agricultural Movement (IFOAM) 255, 368
- 
- International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) 302
- International livestock data platforms 349–350
- International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) 36, 378
- International Standards Organisation 303
- Intramammary (IMM) infusion 119, 120
- Intramammary infections (IMI) 43
- Intrinsic resistance 121
- InVEST. *see* Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Tradeoffs (InVEST)
- Invisible soil 79
- Iodine 64–69
  - animal dietary requirements 65–66
  - cows' diet as source of 64
  - experimental study 65–69
- Ions, milk 49
- Ion trap mass spectroscopy (ITMS) 109
- 
- Kakanui Community Catchment Project 237
- Key Performance Indicators (KPI) 325
- 
- Lactate dehydrogenase 33
- Lactose 49
  - mal-digestion 299
- Land use, for forages 193–194
- Large farm (LF) 216
- LCA. *see* Life cycle assessment (LCA)
- Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) 159
- LEAP. *see* Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance (LEAP)
- LEED. *see* Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED)
- LF. *see* Large farm (LF)
- Life cycle assessment (LCA) 142, 174–179
  - overview 174–176
  - product carbon footprint 176–177
    - CAP'2ER 177
    - method 176–177
  - product water footprint 178–179
- Linear scores (LS). *see* Somatic cell score (SCS)
- Listeria monocytogenes* 15, 17, 35
- Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance (LEAP) 175
- Livestock genotypes 341
- Livestock production systems 339
- 'LowInputBreeds' project 257–258
- 
- Management-intensive grazing (MIG) 194–196
  - at Atlantic Pasture Research Centre 194
  - case studies from other areas 195–196

- on commercial dairy farm in Nova Scotia 195
- Margin Protection Program 270
- Mastitis 43–54, 343
  - antibiotics for treatment of 124–129
    - against bacterial infections 127–129
    - choice 124–125
    - cow medical history 126
    - detection and diagnostic protocols 124
    - duration of use 125–126
    - overview 117–118
  - antimicrobial resistance
    - of pathogens 122–123
  - dairy product quality and 49–50
  - indicators of 44–46
  - milk composition and 46–49
    - fat 48
    - ions 49
    - lactose 49
    - protein 48
  - milk production yield and 50–54
    - overview 43–44
      - clinical 44
      - subclinical 44
- Maximum residue limits (MRLs) 70
- MCFC. *see* Molten carbonate fuel cell (MCFC)
- MECD 215
- Medium farm (MF) 216
- Methane emissions, and concentrate feeding 185–187
- MF. *see* Medium farm (MF)
- Microfiltration 13
- Milk and Dairy Products in Human Nutrition* 296
- Milk bulking groups. *see* Dairy hubs
- Milk collection centre (MCC) 378
- Milk composition 46–49
  - fat 48
  - ions 49
  - lactose 49
  - protein 48
- Milking techniques, and methods 376–377
- Milk ions 49
- Milk losses, and milk market 377–378
- Milk Marketing Boards (MMBs) 278
- Milk Marque 278
- Milk production
  - dairy farming and 267–289
    - European Union (EU) 274–278
    - global 267–274
    - in New Zealand 284–286
    - in United Kingdom 278–284
  - yield 50–54
- Milk quality 140
- Milk Quotas 274
- Milk ring test (MRT) 34
- Milk separation 72
- MMBs. *see* Milk Marketing Boards (MMBs)
- Molecular technologies 349
- Molten carbonate fuel cell (MCFC) 168–169
- MRLs. *see* Maximum residue limits (MRLs)
- MRT. *see* Milk ring test (MRT)
- Muller 278
- Mycotoxins 96–97, 105–106
- N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase) 33
- NAGase. *see* N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase)
- Narrow-spectrum antibiotics 125
- National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments (NCIMS) 142
- National Dairy Code 138
- National Farm Animal Care Council (NFACC) 141
- National Food Safety Auditor 151, 152
- National Milk Producers Federation 143
- National Standard 141
- Natural mating vs. artificial insemination 373
- NCIMS. *see* National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments (NCIMS)
- NDCI. *see* Nutrient density to climate impact (NDCI)
- New Zealand Centre for Advanced Engineering 213
- New Zealand Landcare Trust 231, 237
- NFACC. *see* National Farm Animal Care Council (NFACC)
- Nitrogen, and phosphorus losses 188–190
- Non-volatile organic chlorine 75
- North Canterbury Sustainable Farming Systems Project 238
- No-till grassland renovation 190
- NRF. *see* Nutrition-rich foods (NRF) index
- Nucleus-based models 351
- Nutrient density to climate impact (NDCI) 304
- Nutrition-rich foods (NRF) index 300
- OA. *see* Organic agriculture (OA)
- OCD. *see* Open Country Dairy (OCD)
- OECD/FAO Outlook 272
- OFC. *see* On-farm culture (OFC)
- Office of Fair Trading 278
- Office of Gas and Electricity Metering 213
- OIE. *see* World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE)

- On-farm culture (OFC) 33–34, 128
- On-farm food safety 137–138
- On-farm sustainability programmes
  - in Canada 138–142
    - Canadian Quality Milk (CQM) 138–140
    - integration in proAction 140–142
  - in France 145–149
    - Charter 147–149
    - technical areas of beef and dairy production 146–147
  - in United States of America 142–145
    - Farmers Assuring Responsible Management (FARM) 143–145
    - Grade 'A' Pasteurized Milk Ordinance 142–143
    - Innovation Center for U.S. Dairy 143
- Online animal trading platforms 352
- Open Country Dairy (OCD) 286
- Organic agriculture (OA) 368
- Organic dairy farming 247–259
  - animal health and welfare 255–256
  - breeding 252–255
  - in developing countries 363–380
    - in Africa 370–378
    - characteristics of milk 365–367
    - overview 363–365
    - production 368–370
  - feed efficiency and ecological sustainability 248–250
  - integrated dairy and beef production 250–251
  - longevity 250–251
  - overview 247–248
  - roughage-based feeding strategies 251–252
  - sustainable 256–258
    - 'Feed-no-Food' project 256–257
    - 'LowInputBreeds' project 257–258
- Organic dairy production 368–370
  - challenges of converting to 369–370
  - principles of 368–369
- Organoleptic tests 31–32
- Our Common Future* 158
- Oxytocanide 71
- Pass on the gift model 352
- Pasteurization 4, 11
- Pastoral systems 161
- Pathogenic microorganisms 3–21
  - and cheese 13–15
    - outbreaks related to consumption 15–18
  - contamination 6–8
    - heat treatment and other techniques to prevent 11–13
    - description 4–6
    - growth of bacteria 8–11
    - overview 3–4
- Pathogens detection and testing 27–34, 34–37, 37–39
  - controlling disease 34–35
  - direct 30–31, 33–34
    - milk ring 34
    - on-farm culture 33–34
  - indirect 30–33
    - acidity tests 32
    - organoleptic 31–32
    - other 33
    - somatic cell counts (SCCs) 32–33
  - milk quality in India 35–37
  - overview 27
  - reasons 27–30
    - to investigate milk quality 29
    - as part of quality assurance 28–29
    - for research 30
    - zoonotic risks in Tanzania 34–35
- Phenotypic resistance 121
- Phycotoxins 97
- Physical hazards 97
- Pierrehumbert, Raymond 302
- Plant secondary compounds (PSC) 251–252
- Plasmin 48
- PMO. *see* Grade 'A' Pasteurized Milk Ordinance (PMO)
- Population pressure 355
- Pressure, state, response (PSR) approach 179
- Principles for the assessment of livestock impacts on biodiversity* 179
- ProAction, on-farm programmes in 140–142
  - animal health and biosecurity 141
  - animal welfare 141
  - environment 142
  - food safety 141
  - milk quality 140
  - traceability 142
- Product carbon footprint 176–177
  - CAP'2ER 177
  - method 176–177
- Protein 48
- PSC. *see* Plant secondary compounds (PSC)
- PSR. *see* Pressure, state, response (PSR) approach
- Public infrastructure development 355
- Quarter milk SCC (QMSSC) 45

- Rafoxanide 71, 72, 73  
 Real time pricing (RTP) tariffs 215–216  
 Red clover 187  
 Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL) 256  
 Return on investment (ROI) 217  
 Roughages  
   based feeding strategies 251–252  
   and concentrates 95–96  
 RTP. *see* Real time pricing (RTP) tariffs  
 Rumen undegradable protein (RUP) 189  
 Ryegrasses 187
- SAD. *see* Sustainable agricultural development (SAD)  
 SAI. *see* Sustainable Agriculture Initiative (SAI)  
 Sainfoin 252  
 SAI Platform Dairy Working Group 164  
*Salmonella* 106–108  
   description 106  
   diagnostics 107  
   impacts 106–107  
     animal health 107  
     economic 107  
     human health 106–107  
   prevention 107–108  
 SDGs. *see* Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)  
 SEM. *see* Single Electricity Market (SEM)  
 Sexed semen 348–349  
 SF. *see* Small farm (SF)  
 Share-milking 285  
 Sheep milk 366  
 Single Electricity Market (SEM) 215–216  
 Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) 349  
 Single Payment Scheme 274  
 Skim-milk powder 73  
 Small farm (SF) 216  
 Smallholder dairy farming  
   in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) 337–357  
     dairy production systems 339–341  
     improving dairy production via  
       breeding 345–348  
     improving productivity 348–354  
     key organizations supporting 354  
     management practices 341–345  
     overview 337–338  
   in tropical Asia 317–334  
     benchmarking performance 325–331  
     cow colonies 331–333  
     description 318–320  
     key constraints 323–325  
     overview 317  
     supporting 320–323  
 Smallholder mixed farming systems 161  
 SMP. *see* System marginal electricity price (SMP)  
 SNP. *see* Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)  
 SNP-based relationships 349  
 SOC. *see* Soil organic carbon (SOC)  
 Socio-economic factors 344–345  
   in dairy sector 294–300  
     on livelihoods 294–296  
     on nutrition 296–298  
     reasons for low milk consumption 298–300  
 Sod suppression 190  
 Sodium hypochlorite 74  
 Soil C sequestration 177  
 Soil health 368  
 Soil organic carbon (SOC) 177  
 Soil organic matter (SOM) 190  
 Somatic cell counts (SCCs) 32–33, 43, 45  
 Somatic cell score (SCS) 50  
 South Coast Dairy Ltd., 236  
*Staphylococcus aureus* 6, 15, 17, 35, 122  
*Staphylococcus epidermidis* 35  
 State Regulatory Authority 151, 152  
 Sterilization 11  
*Stewardship and Sustainability Framework for U.S. Dairy* 143  
*Streptococcus equisimilis* 15  
 Subclinical mastitis 44  
 Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)  
   smallholder dairy farming in 337–357  
     dairy production systems 339–341  
     improving dairy production via  
       breeding 345–348  
     improving productivity 348–354  
     key organizations supporting 354  
     management practices 341–345  
     overview 337–338  
 Sustainability, and dairy farming 157–171  
   beyond 2050, 169–170  
   challenges 164–165  
   defining 158–161  
   global status 161–164  
   innovations for 165–169  
   on-farm programmes  
     in Canada 138–142  
     in France 145–149  
     in United States of America 142–145  
   overview 157–158  
 Sustainable agricultural development (SAD) 306, 307  
 Sustainable Agriculture Initiative (SAI) 159

- Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 307  
 Synthetic/composite breeds 341  
 System marginal electricity price (SMP) 216
- Taranaki-based Kiwi Co-operative Dairies 286  
 Tatua Co-operative Dairy Company 286  
 TCM. *see* Trichloromethane (TCM)  
*Terrestrial Animal Health Code* 100, 141, 142  
*The Economist* 302  
 Thermization 11  
 Tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) 6, 17, 375  
 Time-of-use (TOU) tariffs 213, 214  
 Total milk solids (TMS) 162  
 Total organic chlorine (TOX) 75  
 TOU. *see* Time-of-use (TOU) tariffs  
 TOX. *see* Total organic chlorine (TOX)  
 Toxic metals 110  
*Toxoplasma gondii* 18  
 Trichloromethane (TCM) 75–78, 80, 81–82  
   as by-product of disinfection 75–76  
   in drinking water 76–77  
   in food 77  
   haloform reaction 75  
   human exposure to 76  
   metabolisation of 78  
   toxic effects of 77–78  
 Triclabendazole 70, 71, 72, 73  
 Tropical Asia  
   smallholder dairy farming in 317–334  
     benchmarking performance 325–331  
     cow colonies 331–333  
     description 318–320  
     key constraints 323–325  
     overview 317  
     supporting 320–323  
 Two-tier nucleus breeding scheme 351
- Ultra-high-temperature sterilization (UHT) 4, 11  
 UNEP. *see* United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)  
 UNEP-SETAC Life Cycle Initiative 162  
 United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) 291  
 United Nations World Commission on Environment and Development 158  
 United States of America  
   on-farm sustainability  
     programmes in 142–145  
     Farmers Assuring Responsible Management (FARM) 143–145  
     Grade 'A' Pasteurized Milk Ordinance 142–143  
     Innovation Center for U.S. Dairy 143  
     Upper Buller Enhancement Group Project 238  
     Upper Taieri Water Resource Management Project 231  
     U.S. Dairy Sustainability Commitment 143  
     U.S. Public Health Service 142
- Value chain (VC) 353–354  
 Veterinary drug residues 109–110  
   diagnostics 110  
   impacts 109–110  
     animal health 110  
     economic 110  
     human health 109–110  
   prevention 110  
 Veterinary medicines 69–74, 255, 376  
   flukicide residues analysis 70  
   significance 73–74  
   stability of residues  
     during manufacturing 73  
     during storage and freezing 73  
   transfer of residues from milk  
     to product 72–73  
     butter 72  
     cheese 72  
     milk separation 72  
     skim-milk powder 73  
   treatment during dry period 71–72  
   treatment during lactation 71
- Visible soil 79  
 Volatile organic chlorine 75
- Waikato-based New Zealand Dairy Group 286  
 Water Accord 236  
 WaterFootprintNetwork 178  
 Water footprint (WF) 178–179, 212  
 Water management  
   total water footprint 220  
   types of water use 220  
 Water stress index (WSI) 222  
 Water withdrawal to water availability (WTA) ratio 222  
 Welfare and Dairy Cattle Production Systems 141  
 Westland Milk Products 286  
 WF. *see* Water footprint (WF)  
 White clover 187  
 World Health Organization (WHO) 100, 101  
 World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) 100, 141, 142  
 World Resources Institute 164, 302

World Wildlife Fund (WWF) 164

WSI. *see* Water stress index (WSI)

WTA. *see* Water withdrawal to water  
availability (WTA) ratio

WWF. *see* World Wildlife Fund (WWF)

*Yersinia enterocolitica* 6

*Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* 6

Zero grazing 374

Zoonotic risks, in Tanzania 34–35